

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/673,836	03/29/2001	Triptikumar Mukhopadhyay	085933/0117	5592		
75	90 10/01/2003					
	Patricia D Granados			EXAMINER MOHAMED, ABDEL A		
Foley & Lardner Washington Harbour			MOHAMED,			
3000 K Street N Washington, DO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
washington, De	2000, 5109		1653 DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	12		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant	t(s)					
		09/673,836	· 6	микнор	MUKHOPADHYAY ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Abdel A. M	ohamed	1653						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address										
Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status  1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 July 2003 .										
2a)□		is action is i	non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
-	ion of Claims									
•	4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
-	6) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.									
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
•—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election re	quirement.							
· · ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.								
,	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 March 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
· · · / <b>_</b>	Applicant may not request that any objection to the									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
14) 🗌 A	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
	<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>									
Attachment(s)										
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			Summary (PTO-413) I Informal Patent Applic						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/673,836

Art Unit: 1653

( . )

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO AMENDMENT, REMARKS AND STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

1. The amendment and remarks filed 7/22/03 are acknowledged, entered and considered. In view of Applicant's request claims 1-3 have been amended. Thus, claims 1-4 are now pending in the application. The objections to the specification and trademarks and the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph and 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment and remarks filed 7/22/03.

The following is a new ground of rejection.

### CLAIMS REJECTION-35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

    Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under

7

Application/Control Number: 09/673,836

Art Unit: 1653

37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balkovec et al., (U.S. Patent No. 5,684,128) taken with Balkovec et al., (U.S. Patent No. 5,159,059).

The instantly claimed invention is directed to a process for converting echinocandin class of peptides (cyclohexapeptidyl lipopeptides) by reducing the C4-htyr (homotyrosine) hydroxyl group of echinocandins to their deoxy analogues by mixing the echinocandin class of peptide with Raney Nickel in a solvent selected from the group consisting of methanol, ethanol and dioxane at a pH 3-9 without protecting and then deprotecting the C5-Orn (ornithine) hydroxyl group prior to reducing the echinocandin class of peptides (i.e., reducing Mulundocandin to Deoxymulundocandin) and then purifying the mondeoxy compound from the crude reaction mixture (claims 1and 2). The process further comprises the reduction of C4-htyr (homotyrosine) hydroxyl group of echinocandins which is carried out by hydrogenolysis with Raney nickel in ethanol at pH 7 and at room temperature and at the ratio of 6.8 ml Raney nickel per millimole of mulundocandin (claims 3 and 4).

Similarly, the prior art of Balkovec et al., ('128 patent) teaches the conversion of cyclohexapeptidyl lipopeptides (echinocandins) to corresponding deoxy analogues under selective reduction by mixing the echinocandin class of peptides with reducing agents such as Raney nickel in solvent such as ethanol, methanol, alcohol, or other ethers at room temperatures with prior protection/deprotection of 3 –hydroxyornithine group prior to reducing the echinocandin class of peptides and then purifying the crude

Application/Control Number: 09/673,836

Art Unit: 1653

ï

Į,

mixtures thereof to obtain the intended compound (see e.g., col. 1, lines 43-55; cols. 3-6; scheme I, cols. 13-17; and claim 1) as directed to claims 1-4. On cols. 13-14, the prior art clearly shows the employment of reducing agent such as Raney nickel in combination with other agents which is useful when the combined reagents is used, from about 5 to 50 molar equivalents of sodium borohydride and from about 52 to 10 molar equivalents of cobaltous chloride are used for each molar amount of the nitrile. Thus, the prior art teaches the process for conversion of echinocandin class of peptides (Cyclic hexapeptides having a lipophilic side chains) by selective reduction of echinocnadins to their monodeoxy analogues under conditions without prior protection/deprotection of the ornithin hydroxyl group and purification of the monodeoxy compound from the crude reaction mixture, wherein the reduction reaction is carried out by hydrogenolysis from 5 to 50 molar equivalents by using reducing agent such as Raney nickel in combination with other agents at room temperature.

The claims differ by requiring a specific pHs for the conversion of echinocandin class of peptides, i.e., the claims require the use of pH 3-7 and pH 7. However, the primary reference of Balkovec et al., ('128 patent) suggests the use of weakly basic solvents on col. 13, lines 46-50 by stating that the reaction is carried out in a solvent such as dimethylformamide (DMF). Other solvents, which may be employed, include pyridine, collidine and other weakly basic solvents. Thus, clearly suggesting the use of basic solvents. Further, the secondary reference of Balkovec et al., ('059 patent), which teaches a process for producing a compound such as echinocandin or echinocandin-like cyclohexapeptide by selectively reducing said compound in strong acid medium. On Example 10, particularly on col. 23, lines 50-65 teaches the addition of phosphate buffer at pH in the range of 6 to 7 to solubilize the compound with the aid of dimethyl sulfoxide, and later on, adjusting the supernatant to pH 7. Thus, clearly showing the

Application/Control Number: 09/673,836

Art Unit: 1653

ß

selection of the appropriate process conditions (i.e., pHs) would have been *prima facie* obvious because where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation, *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1995).

Therefore, the employment of a process for conversion of echinocandin class of peptides of the formula I (Cyclic hexapeptides having a lipophilic side chains) via single step selective reduction of C4-homotyrosine (C4-htyr) hydroxyl group of echinocandins to their monodeoxy analogues under neutral conditions (pH 7) without prior protection/deprotection of the equally facile C5-Orn (ornithin) hydroxyl group and purification of the monodeoxy compound from the crude reaction mixture, wherein mulundocandin is converted to deoxymulundocandin and the reduction reaction is carried out by hydrogenolysis with the ratio of 6.8 ml of Raney nickel per millimole of mulundocandin in ethanol at pH 7 and room temperature, appears obvious by the teachings of the prior art and the reasons discussed above, absent of objective factual evidence or unexpected results to the contrary.

### **CONCLUSION AND FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE**

### 3. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abdel A. Mohamed whose telephone number is (703) 308-3966. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 09/673,836

Art Unit: 1653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached on (703) 308-1923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-7401 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800

MM Mohamed/AAM

September 25, 2003